



Semi-Automated Medicines Manufacture

NWSSP Pharmacy Division

Discussion Points

- Background
- Adopting semi-automation
- Batch vs Campaign
- Method development
- Validation & Bracketing
- Staff Training
- Learning in Practice

Background

- From perspective of CIVAS@IP5
- MHRA Special Manufacturing licence since Jan 2021
- Over 18 months testing and validating several devices
- Manufacture of:
 - Critical care syringes
 - Monoclonal antibody infusions
- Current work on
 - OPAT
 - Insulin syringes

Adopting Semi-Automation

- Understand the processes to be automated
 - Current state
 - Desired state
- Understand target/desired product portfolio
- Look for efficiency gains outside of automation
- Key to understand end-to-end process
- Key URS features should include:
 - User profiles & permissions specific
 - Barcode scanning
 - Batch specific report downloading
 - Gassable!!!!

Batch v Campaign

Batch

- Long shelf-life products
- >28 days
- Standard batch sizes
- Standard batch on cost
- Predictable prescribing patterns
- Balance batch sizes vs time in critical zone vs financial risk of failure

Campaign

- Short to intermediate shelf life
- High usage
- <14 days
- Variable on-costs
- Items with unpredictable supply
- Validate maximum campaign sizes with novel segregation processes

Methods Development

Process

- **Starting materials**
- Reconstitution?
- Full, part or multi vial dose
- Barcoded?

- **Process**
- Transfer.....gassing
- Batch or campaign?
- Syringe, infusion bag or OPAT?
- Is further dilution required?
- In-line filter

- **Process Completion & Inspection**
- Labelling and process completion
- Release criteria?
- Product Inspection?

- **Quality Control**
- Batch records
- Device printouts

Method Considerations

- One device vs two devices
- Manual aseptic manipulations
 - Spiking
 - Luer lock
- Transfer
 - Gassing allows larger batch sizes
- Clean room segregation and process completion

Validation & Bracketing

Validation of Equipment

- DQ & IQ essential
- PQ in a bracketing approach
 - All similar equipment pass DQ/IQ
 - Rotation of equipment for ongoing processes
- Annual calibration
- Equipment can also have manual calibration by staff
 - Each batch
 - Periodic time frames

Validation of Processes

- Identify maximum and minimum batch sizes
- Max and min volume additions to final containers
- Each process validated every 6 months
- Validate equipment changeover mid-batch
 - In case of equipment issues
- Frequently change equipment serial number to ensure statistical significance of process data

Staff Training and Validation

- Staff validated on all equipment before using any.
- “Aseptic technique” – spiking and “luer-locking”
 - Focus on adherence to WPI
 - Comportment
 - Critical zone set up
- Equipment is designed to prevent intentional deviation
- Supervisors more focussed on control of finished goods and clean room behaviours

Learning in Practice

- Improved repeatability and reproducibility
- High batch yield
- Improves capacity
- Low ingredient waste
- Data integrity
- Reduces single use plastics
- Cost-effective
- Remote control of manufacturing schedule
- Branded-generics without barcodes
- Need a backup – expensive
- Local technical/engineering knowledge advisable

50 INFUSION BAG ADDITIONS
IN 90 MINUTES

>350 BATCHES – ZERO BROTH
SIMULATION FAILURES

YIELD OUTPUT
97.5 – 99.6%

70-80% REDUCTION IN
SINGLE USE PLASTICS

200 5mL SYRINGES IN
90 MINUTES

£75 STANDARD
CONSUMABLE COST PER
BATCH

ANY QUESTIONS?